UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA

CRAIG PEDERSON and DAVID BROWN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AAA COLLECTIONS, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 4:22-cv-04166

Judge District Roberto A. Lange

ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Plaintiffs, individually and on behalf of the proposed Class, and Defendant have entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release, dated October 3, 2023 ("Settlement Agreement") that, if approved, would settle the above-captioned litigation. Having considered the Motion, the Settlement Agreement together with all exhibits and attachments thereto, the record in this matter, and the briefs and arguments of counsel, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Unless otherwise defined herein, all terms that are capitalized herein shall have the same meaning ascribed to those terms in the Settlement Agreement.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction over this litigation, Plaintiffs, Defendant, and Class Members, and any party to any agreement that is part of or related to the Settlement Agreement.

PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

3. The Court has reviewed the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the exhibits and attachments thereto, Plaintiffs' motion papers and briefs, and the declarations of counsel and the Settlement Administrator. Based on its review of these papers, the Court finds that the Settlement Agreement appears to be the result of serious, informed, non-collusive negotiations

conducted with the assistance of Jill Sperber, through which the basic terms of the Settlement were negotiated and finalized. The Court further observes that the Settlement Agreement is the product of an informal exchange of information between the Parties before mediation. The terms of the Settlement Agreement do not improperly grant preferential treatment to any individual or segment of the Class and fall within the range of possible approval as fair, reasonable, and adequate.

4. The Court therefore GRANTS preliminary approval of the Settlement Agreement and all of the terms and conditions contained therein.

PRELIMINARY CLASS CERTIFICATION

- 5. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Court preliminarily certifies, for settlement purposes only, the Class defined in the Settlement Agreement as follows: individuals identified on the Class List whose certain personal information may have been involved in the Data Incident who do not timely elect to be excluded from the Class. Excluded from the Class are: (1) the judge presiding over this Action, and members of his direct family; (2) AAA, its subsidiaries, parent companies, successors, predecessors, and any entity in which the Defendant or its parents have a controlling interest and their current or former officers and directors; and (3) Class Members who submit a valid Request for Exclusion prior to the Opt-Out Deadline.
- 6. The Court preliminarily finds that the Class satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) for settlement purposes only: the Class is comprised of many thousands of individuals; there are questions of law or fact common to the Class; the Class Representatives' claims are typical of those of Class Members; and the Class Representatives will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
- 7. The Court preliminarily finds that the Class satisfies the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(3) for settlement purposes only: the questions of law or fact common

to the Class predominate over individual questions; and class action litigation is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

- 8. The Court hereby appoints Craig Pederson and David Brown as the Class Representatives for the Class. The Court provisionally finds that the Class Representatives are similarly situated to absent Class Members and therefore typical of the Class and that they will be adequate Class Representatives.
- 9. The Court finds the following counsel are experienced and adequate counsel and appoints them as Class Counsel for the Settlement: Terence R. Coates and Dylan J. Gould of Markovits, Stock & DeMarco, LLC; Joseph Lyon of the Lyon Firm, LLC; Raina Borrelli of Turke & Strauss, LLP; and Pamela Reiter of Reiter Law Firm LLC.

NOTICE AND ADMINISTRATION

- 10. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Parties have designated Analytics Consulting, LLC as the Settlement Administrator. Analytics shall perform all the duties of the Settlement Administrator set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 11. The Court finds that the Class Notice and proposed Notice program set forth in the Settlement Agreement satisfy the requirements of due process and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Class Notice and Notice program are reasonably calculated to apprise Class Members of the nature of this Litigation, the scope of the Class, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the right of Class Members to object to the Settlement Agreement or exclude themselves from the Class and the processes for doing so, and the Final Approval Hearing. The Court therefore approves the Class Notice and Notice program and directs the Parties and the Settlement Administrator to proceed with providing notice to Class Members pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and this Order.

- 12. The Settlement Administrator shall commence the Notice program within the time required by the Settlement Agreement.
 - 13. The Court also approves the versions of the Claim Form and Short Form Notice.

EXCLUSION AND OBJECTIONS

- 15. All Class Members who do not opt out and exclude themselves shall be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement upon entry of the Final Approval Order and Judgment.
- written Objection to the Court in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Class Notice by (90 days after entry of this Order), it must be in writing, postmarked by the Objection Deadline, filed with/or mailed to the Court and the Settlement Administrator and must include (1) the name of the proceedings; (2) the Class Member's full name, current mailing address, and telephone number; (3) a statement of the specific grounds for the objection, as well as any documents supporting the objection; (4) the identity of any attorneys representing the objector; (5) a statement regarding whether the Class Member (or his/her attorney) intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (6) a statement identifying all class action settlements objected to by the Class

Member in the previous five (5) years; and (7) the signature of the Class Member or the Class Member's attorney.

17. Any Class Member who does not timely submit a written objection in accordance with these procedures and the procedures detailed in the Class Notice and Settlement Agreement shall be deemed to have waived any objection, shall not be permitted to object to the Settlement, and shall be precluded from seeking any review of the Settlement Agreement or the Final Approval Order by appeal or other means.

FINAL APPROVAL HEARING

- n **PHL**
- 18. The Court will hold a Final Approval Hearing on March 25, 2024 at 3:00pm in the United States District Court, District of South Dakota, 225 South Pierre Street, Pierre, South Dakota 57501.
- 19. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will consider whether: (a) the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate; (b) the Class should be finally certified; (c) the preliminary appointment of Class Counsel should be made final; (d) the preliminary appointment of the Class Representatives should be made final; (e) Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses should be granted; (f) the Service Awards sought for Class Representatives should be granted; and (g) a final judgment should be entered.
- 20. The Court reserves the right to continue the date of the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to Class Members.

SETTLEMENT TIMELINE

Grant of Preliminary Approval	
Settlement Administrator provides W-9 to	+10 days after Preliminary Approval Order
AAA	
AAA provides list of Class Members to the	+14 days after Preliminary Approval
Settlement Administrator	

Long Form and Short Form Notices Posted on	+14 days after Preliminary Approval
the Settlement Website	
Notice Deadline	+30 days after Preliminary Approval
Reminder Notice	+60 days after Notice Deadline
Class Counsel's Fee and Expense Application	+46 days after Notice Deadline
Objection Deadline	+60 days after Notice Deadline
Exclusion Deadline	+60 days after Notice Deadline
Claims Deadline	+90 days after Notice Deadline
Settlement Administrator Provide List of	+70 days after Notice Deadline
Objections/Exclusions to the Court and Class	
Counsel	
Initially Approved Claims List	+35 days after Claims Deadline
Initially Rejected Claims List	+35 days after Claims Deadline
Parties' Challenge to Any Claims	+ 35 days from Initially Approved Claims
	List
Final Approval Hearing	+150 days after Preliminary Approval Order
	(at minimum)
Motion for Final Approval	-14 days before Final Approval Hearing Date
Settlement Administrator Provide Notice of	-14 days before Final Approval Hearing Date
Opt-Outs and/or Objections	
Final Approval	
Effective Date	+35 days after Final Approval Order
Payment of Attorneys' Fees and Expenses,	+30 days after Effective Date
and Class Representative Service Awards	
Settlement Website Deactivation	+180 days after Effective Date

- 21. All proceedings and deadlines in this matter, except those necessary to implement this Order and the settlement, are hereby stayed and suspended until further order of the Court.
- 22. All Class Members who do not validly opt out and exclude themselves are hereby enjoined from pursuing or prosecuting any of the Released Claims as set forth in the Settlement Agreement until further order of the Court.
- 23. In the event that the Settlement Agreement is terminated pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement: (a) the Settlement Agreement and this Order shall become void, shall have no further force or effect, and shall not be used in the Litigation or any other proceedings for any purpose other than as may be necessary to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement that survive termination; (b) this matter will revert to the status that existed before execution of the

Case 4:22-cv-04166-RAL Document 43 Filed 10/16/23 Page 7 of 7 PageID #: 479

Settlement Agreement; and (c) no term or draft of the Settlement Agreement or any part of the

Parties' settlement discussions, negotiations or documentation (including any briefs filed in support

of preliminary or final approval of the Settlement) shall be (i) admissible into evidence for any

purpose in this Litigation or in any other action or proceeding other than as may be necessary to

enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement that survive termination, (ii) deemed an admission

or concession by any Settling Party regarding the validity of any of the Released Claims or the

propriety of certifying any class against Defendant, or (iii) deemed an admission or concession by

any Party regarding the truth or falsity of any facts alleged in the Litigation or the availability or

lack of availability of any defense to the Released Claims.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 16, 2023

Hon. Roberto A. Lange

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

7